## European Crime Prevention Award (ECPA) Annex I -version 2014

Please complete the template in English.

General information			
1.	Please specify your country.		
2.	What is the title of the project?		
3.	Who is responsible for the project? Contact details.		
4.	Start date of the project (dd/mm/yyyy)? Is the project still running (Yes/No)? If not, please provide the end date of the project.		
5.	Where can we find more information about the project? Please provide links to the project's website or online reports or publications (in English please).		

6.	. Please give a <b>one page</b> description of the project ( <b>Max. 600 words</b> )

I.	I. The project shall focus on prevention and/or reduction of every crime and fear of crime within the theme.			
	8.	How does the project contribute to crime prevention and/or to the reduction of crime or the fear of crime? (Max. 150 words)		
	9.	How is the project contributing to raising citizens' awareness of crime prevention? (Max. 150 words)		
II.	II. The project shall have been evaluated and have achieved most or a of its objectives. <sup>1</sup>			
	10	. What was the reason for setting up the project? What problem(s) did it aim to tackle?		
	11	. Was the context analysed <b>before</b> the project was initiated? How, and by whom? Which data were used? ( <b>Max. 150 words</b> )		
	12	. What were the objective(s) of the project? Please, if applicable, distinguish between main and secondary objectives. (Max. 150 words)		
	13	Did you build in internal goals to measure the performance of the project? If so, please describe at what stage of the project and how you measured whether the project was moving in the planned direction. (Max. 150 words)		

14. Has there been a <u>process evaluation</u> ? Who conducted the evaluation (internally or externally?) and what where the main results? ( <b>max. 300 words</b> ) - for more information on process evaluation, see EUCPN Toolbox No.3, p.9-10 & part 2 - section 2A
15. Has there been an <u>outcome or impact evaluation</u> ? Who conducted the evaluation (internally or externally?), which data and evaluation method where used and what were the main results? ( <b>Max. 300 words</b> ) - for more information on outcome or impact evaluation, see EUCPN Toolbox No.3, p.7-9 & part 2 - section 2A
III. The project shall, as far as possible, be innovative, involving new methods or new approaches.
16. How is the project innovative in its methods and/or approaches? (Max. 150 words)
<ul> <li>IV. The project shall be based on cooperation between partners, where possible.</li> <li>18. Which partners or stakeholders were involved in the project and what was their involvement? (Max. 200 words)</li> </ul>
their involvement: (Max. 200 Words)
V. The project shall be capable of replication in other Member States.
19. How and by whom is the project funded? (Max. 150 words)
20. What were the costs of the project in terms of finances, material and human resources? (Max. 150 words)

21. Has a cost-benefit analysis been carried out? If so, describe the analysis, including how and by whom it was carried out and list the main findings of the analysis. (Max. 150 words)
22. Are there adjustments to be made to the project to ensure a successful replication in another Member State?
23. How is the project relevant for other Member States? Please explain the European dimension of your project.
Please provide a short general description of the project (abstract for inclusion in the conference booklet – <b>max. 150 words</b> ).